The CIA Dispute

Case History On The Art Of 'Leaking' Information

Agency's Role In Cuban Fiasco Revealed Despite Official Silence

By GEORGE JENKS

ASHINGTON-One of the oddest aspects of the illfated invasion of Cuba is that never before or after the shooting started did the United States Government issue any authoritative explanation of its part in the uprising against the Castro regime.

Being the fiasco that it was, the Cuban affair is he accepted full responsibility country would like to forget, yet it does constitute an interesting case history on the spread of information by leaks and by indirection.

While the buildup for the invasion was taking place, there was a tight lid on Washington news sources. The same was not true of Miama, Fla., traditional listening post for Latin-American affairs.

The word from Miama early this month bore out Fidel Castro's warnings of an impending invasion of Cuba.

The first official word from the White House came at a news conference April 12 when President Kennedy proclaimed hands - off policy toward

He stated specifically that there would be no intervention in Cuba by U.S. forces; that he opposed the mounting of any invasion from this country and that he would do anything in his power to prevent U.S. citizens from fighting in Cuba.

THAT APPEARED to settle the question, but within a few days there were "leaks," apparently from State Department sources, to the effect that a dispute was raging within the Kennedy administration over the question of supporting an anti-Castro invasion.

Following the dismal collapse of the invasion, the finger of blame quickly was pointed at the Central Intelligence Agency which was accused—again through "leaks" from administration source-s-of bringing the rebel cause to grief through faulty intelli-

something everyone in this for this Government's actions, he immediately ordered an investigation of the CIA by retired Gen. Maxwell B. Taytired Gen. Maxwell B. Tay-lor and by his brother, Attor-ney General Robert F Ken-

> Then the CIA struck back with a denial of any past mis-takes. Before an informal briefing session of a group of newspaper editors—which by pure coincidence happened to be assembled in Washington in the wake of the Cuban crisis appeared "a highly placed official of the CIA.

THIS OFFICIAL denied that the CIA had misjudged Cuban armed strength or determination and declared the agency had submitted a report-pre-sumably to the White Housepainting a true picture of the situation on the eve of the invasion.

Because of the rules prevailing at such informal government briefings, the name of, the CIA official did not appear in connection with what he said. The only clue to his identity is the fact that one of the speakers listed for the editors' seminar was Allen W. Dulles, CIA director.

All this recrimination and finger-pointing made interest-ing, if puzzling, reading. It obviously was not enjoyed how-ever, by Mr. Kennedy and other administration leaders.

Speaking before the American Newspaper Publishers Association last week, the President brought up the question gence work.

The President Approved For Release 2000/04/13 of GIA-RDF70-00058R000200140165-2

means the first American president to be simpled by in-formation leaks within his ad-ministration. Nor will be battle first president to learn that the only way to prevent publica-tion of leaked news is to sing the leaks at the source.

The "background briefing" or the "off-fine-record disclosure is the oldest kin denimented of letting cata out of the bag in the dark. It is had described as means of disseminating news, opinion or rumor without identification of the source.

Presidents themselves has often made use of the device. Franklin D. Roosevelt used to Franklin D. Roosevelt used in go "off the record" frequently at crowded press conferences. The resultant stories, attributed to "a high White House source," feeled no one but they did give him a chance to deny he had said it is claim that he was misqueted.

With the televising of Wiels House news conferences the "off-the-record" dodge has fallen out of presidential use but it still abounds at lower government levels.

IT IS PARTICULARLY provalent among the military who have been fond of planting critical (and anonyment comment on prevailing arms

It was this that secretary of the Navy John B. Connally Jr., had in mind this month when he warned his officers against talking with the press at all unless they were willing to have their names associated with their statements,

While President Kennedy frowns on loose-talking offi-cials, his administration-like its predecessors—often makes use of planted "leaks" from unnamed White House sources as a means of testing public or congressional reaction to proposed policies.

The Cuban affair made clear to the Administration what Washington learned long ago: of self-restraint on the part of that while one man can be newspapers in printing all the trusted to keep a secret, the STATINTL